1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT		
2	United States Attorney ADRIAN T. KINSELLA Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100		
3			
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Essemble: (916) 554-2000		
5	Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:21-CR-00021-JAM	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14	KEVIN STERN, DATE: February 14, 2023 TIME: 9:00 a.m.		
15	Defendant.	COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez	
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on February 14, 2023.		
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until March		
22	28, 2023, at 9:00 a.m., and to exclude time between February 14, 2023, and March 28, 2023, under		
23	Local Code T4.		
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, ar	nd request that the Court find the following:	
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case		
26	includes investigative reports, photographs, affidavits, other documents, over 3,500 recorded		
27	phone calls intercepted pursuant to the Title III wiretap orders, and other recordings. This entire		
28	discovery is in the process of being produced directly to counsel and/or made available for		

inspection and copying. Additionally, the government recently produced over 1,000 pages of additional discovery.

- b) Counsel for defendant needs additional time to review the discovery in this case, to conduct independent factual investigation, to research trial and sentencing issues, to consult with the client, and to otherwise prepare.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of February 14, 2023 to March 28, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- g) The parties also agree that, because the discovery in this case is voluminous and involves multiple wiretaps, an exclusion of time is also appropriate pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii) [Local Code T2].

Case 2:21-cr-00021-JAM Document 55 Filed 02/09/23 Page 3 of 3

1		
2	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the	
3	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a tria	
4	must commence.	
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
6	Dated: February 8, 2023	PHILLIP A. TALBERT
7	Dated. February 8, 2025	United States Attorney
8		/s/ ADRIAN T. KINSELLA
9		ADRIAN T. KINSELLA Assistant United States Attorney
10		rissistant Sinted States rittorney
11	Dated: February 8, 2023	/s/ OLAF W. HEDBERG
12		OLAF W. HEDBERG Counsel for Defendant
13		KEVIN STERN
14		
15		
16	ORDER	
17 18	11 18 SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 8" day of February, 2023.	
19		/s/ John A. Mendez
20		THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
21		SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		